



Guidance note for marketing executives

Following the tragic fire at Grenfell tower in 2017 the industry stepped up to the plate to look at itself, to identify where the risks were and what could be done to address the gaps that where identified.

Some of these issues centred around how products were marketed and the use of ambiguous wording. This short guide has been produced to help marketing executives and copywriters to be aware of what to avoid and what to use.

Code for Construct product information (CCPI) https://www.cpicode.org.uk/ was formed from an industry working group to help organisations drive higher standards in the presentation of construction product information, prioritising building safety, and is available to guide best practice on providing performance information.

The CCPI is built around these five 'acid tests' - product information must be:

- Clear,
- Accurate
- Up-to-date
- Accessible and
- Unambiguous.

Let's address ambiguity.

It's a marketers role to point out what's good, what's unique and why it's the best option and it's also the area where phrases can be unclear when it comes down to describing performance, for example describing a product as fire proof or sound proof (which incidentally don't relate to a performance test standard) or stating its compliant without more substantial information could lead to the product being inappropriately used with dire results.

Words used and why they are not appropriate and alternatives.

Words and	Reason	Suggested words and phrases to
descriptions to avoid		use.
Fire proof	Proof, safe, blocking are examples or	Fire resistant FR 30-60-90 tested
Heat proof	words that are not mirrored in any	in accordance with BS 476-22
Flame proof	performance tests and should be	Test report NoXXXX
Fire safe	avoided.	
Fire blocking		Fire resistant EI 30-60-90 tested
	All of these terms are ambiguous	in accordance with BSEN 1364-1
	because products are tested to be,	and classified using EN13501-2
Fire rated*	either fire resistant or have a	Test report No xxxx
Fire Resistant *	reaction to fire classification.	

*Unless it's supported by a classification or appropriate fire test report	In the case of fire resistance, (which is a products ability to stop the passage of fire, hot gasses and ensure that the temperature is contained with a set of parameters), can currently be tested using two tests standards and still be compliant with the Building regulations and guidance set out in approved Document B (ADB)	Reaction to Fire classification should include the classification. A-F on how much the material contributes to the spread of flame. S1-3relates to smoke propagation D1-2 relates to flaming droplets as shown in the classification report following the test.
Fully fire tested Meets current building	Again, these are ambiguous. Descriptions should be clear and specific. If a product is tested, be clear and reference the test and the resulting class or classification. Its impossible to know where the	Tested in accordance with the test shown in the test report and classified using the classification standard shown in the classification report
regulations.	product will be used or even which approved document it refers to so avoid saying it meets the building regulations.	

The CCPI have a useful Words and Phrases to avoid using Here https://www.cpicode.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/Words-and-Phrases-To-Avoid-Using Feb-2023.pdf

The CCPI Code has eleven robust ways of working and ensuring compliance, the first three are useful to consider here as process to reduce risk

- 1 Have in place a documented sign-off process for creating 'Product Information'.
- 2 Have in place a formal version control process for all 'Product Information'.
- 3 Do not use mis-leading or ambiguous wording, phrasing or imagery and embrace the use of plain English to ensure accurate representation of 'Product Information' and performance claims.

All eleven ways of working can be seen here https://www.cpicode.org.uk/ways-of-working/

NOTE: although this guide discusses the importance of using the correct terms when describing the fire performance of products when they are correctly specified and installed in the built environment the same issues apply to acoustic performance, here some examples

Sound proof	Airbourne sound insulation
dB rated	Structure borne sound insulation.
X dB without specifying the classification eg R_w	Sound absorption



